IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.,)	
Plaintiff)))	The Honorable Liam O'Grady
v. KIM DOTCOM, et al.,)	Criminal Action No. 1:12-CR-3
Defendants)	

MOTION OF SPECIALLY APPEARING DEFENDANT MEGAUPLOAD LIMITED TO DISMISS INDICTMENT FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION

Specially appearing Defendant Megaupload Limited respectfully moves this Court to dismiss the indictment against it for lack of personal jurisdiction. The grounds supporting this Motion are stated with particularity in the concurrently filed Memorandum in Support of Motion of Specially Appearing Defendant Megaupload Limited to Dismiss Indictment for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction.

Respectfully submitted,

Ira P. Rothken ROTHKEN LAW FIRM 3 Hamilton Landing Suite 280 Novato, CA 94949 (415) 924-4250 (415) 924-2905 (fax) ira@techfirm.net

Carey R. Ramos
Robert L. Raskopf
Andrew H. Schapiro
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, N.Y. 10010
(212) 849-7000
(212) 849-7100
careyramos@quinnemanuel.com
robertraskopf@quinnemanuel.com
andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com

Counsel for Defendant Megaupload Limited

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 3, 2012, the foregoing MOTION OF SPECIALLY APPEARING DEFENDANT MEGAUPLOAD LIMITED TO DISMISS INDICTMENT FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION was filed and served electronically by the Court's CM/ECF system upon all registered users.

/s/ Heather H. Martin_

Heather H. Martin (VSB # 65694)
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Suite 825
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 538-8000
(202) 538-8100 (fax)
heathermartin@quinnemanuel.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.,)	
Plaintiff v.) The Honorable Liam O'Grady	
KIM DOTCOM, et al.,) Criminal Action No. 1:12-CR-3	
Defendants)	
[PROPOS	ED] ORDER	
Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Pr	rocedure 4 and Local Criminal Rule 47, Defendant	
Megaupload Limited ("Megaupload") special	ly appears to move to dismiss the Superseding	
Indictment for lack of personal jurisdiction.	The COURT, having considered Megaupload's	
motion, the Government's response thereto, as	nd Megaupload's reply in support of its motion,	
and being fully advised in the premises, f	inds that the Government has failed to serve	
Megaupload with service of process and can	nnot do so in compliance with Federal Rule of	
Criminal Procedure 4. Accordingly, it is hereby	r	
ORDERED that said motion is GRANT	ED.	
The Superseding Indictment against Def	fendant Megaupload Limited is DISMISSED.	
Date:		
Alexandria, Virginia	The Honorable Liam O'Grady United States District Judge	